

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,416	10/20/2000	Thomas Collins	20206-014(PT-TA-410)	1055	
7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SMITHERS, MATTHEW		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	O 80527-2400		2137		
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/694,416, 90/005,733,90/005,77	COLLINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew B. Smithers	2137	

201010 the filling of all hippour Brion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew B. Smithers	2137					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) 🔯 The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔲 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. Ir							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 December 2005. A	brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41	1.37 must be filed with	nin two months				
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFf	R 41.37(e)), to avoid o	dismissal of the				
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	y must be filed within the time perio	d set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	0031160				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TF below).	scause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.011),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		maliant Amandaiant ((DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Dewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 							
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if submitted in a separate,	unery med amendine	in canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	🛛 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 wil	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>32,33,37 and 45-49</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,9-12,14-31,34-36,38-44 and 50-61</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the data of filing a Ne	ation of Annoal will no	t he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•		*				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Souther: See Continuation Sheet.							
		Matthau D Cmithau	_				

Matthew B Smithers Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2137

Continuation of 13. Other: Application not in condition for allowance because dependent claims 26, 27 and 31 each fail to further limit their respective independent claims 1.